UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,746	08/20/2003	Craig C. Mello	UMY-052DV1	9625
959 LAHIVE & C	959 7590 07/16/2007 LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP		EXAMINER	
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE			MONSHIPOURI, MARYAM	
BOSTON, MA	A 02109-2127	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1656		
			·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/645,746	MELLO ET AL.				
Examiner-induced interview cummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Maryam Monshipouri	1656				
All Participants:	Status of Application:					
(1) <u>Maryam Monshipouri</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Ms. Debra Milansincic</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 10 July 2007	Time:					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applica  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)					
If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed:						
Prior art documents discussed:						
Part II.						
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:				
Part III.						
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
		•				
	4					
		·				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	anature – if annronriate)				

 $\hat{\mathbf{t}}_{\mathbf{i}}$ 

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner inquired about the ordering of inventors due to descrepency found in ADS paper filed 8/20/03 and BIB data. In response Ms. Milasincic indicated the proper ordering of the inventors to be as following: Mello, Fire, Tabara, Grishok, as already shown in current BIB data..

MARYAM MONSHIPOURI, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER